

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

STEVE MORENO,

Plaintiff,

v.

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN
DEPARTMENT, *et. al*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:16-cv-00766-RFB-VCF

ORDER

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation [26] of the Honorable Cam Ferenbach, United States Magistrate Judge, entered January 23, 2017.

A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by February 6, 2017. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

...

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation [26] is
2 ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this action is DISMISSED without prejudice.

4
5 DATED: February 10, 2017.



6 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**
7 **United States District Judge**